

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09-611,992	07/07/2000		Wen-Teng Wu	08415/003001	6577
26161	7590	06-30-2003			
FISH & RIG	CHARDS	SON PC	EXAMINER		
225 FRANKLIN ST BOSTON, MA 02110				DAVIS, RUTH A	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1651	

DATE MAILED: 06/30/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No. Applicant(s)						
		09/611,992	WU ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Ruth A. Davis	1651					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we use to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing end patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1 704(b)	6(a) In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONED	rely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1)⊡	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 A</u>	pril 2003 .						
2a)⊡	This action is FINAL . 2b) This	s action is non-final.						
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	ion of Claims							
4)⊡	Claim(s) 1-28,30 and 31 is/are pending in the a	application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊡	Claım(s) <u>1-28,30-31</u> is/are rejected.							
7)[•	Claım(s) <u>30 and 31</u> is/are objected to.							
,	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or ion Papers	election requirement.						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examiner							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep	ted or b)⊡ objected to by the Exar	miner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority L	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)[🕙	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).					
a)[⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
* S	 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) 🗌 A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional application).					
) The translation of the foreign language prov Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic							
Attachmen	•	. , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,						
2) 🔲 Notic	te of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s). <u>20</u> . Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 1651

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's amendment has been received and entered into the case. Claim 29 has been cancelled. Claims 1-28 and 30-31 are pending and have been considered on the merits. All arguments have been fully considered.

Claim Objections

Claims 30 - 31 are objected to because they depend from rejected claims.

Claim 31 is objected to for minor informalities: "gain" should be spelled correctly as "grain".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 2. Claims 5, 14 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The phrase "cooking" was not described in the specification as originally filed. The specification does describe a method wherein grain is first

Art Unit: 1651

husked, cocked and sterilized. However, there is not adequate support for cooking the grain before adding to the medium.

3. Rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, have been withdrawn due to amendment.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 1651

6. Claims 1 – 4, 6, 13, 21 and 29 – 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bayer in view of Yueh and Johal.

Applicant claims a method for cultivating filamentous fungi, the method comprising preparing a medium comprising a full grain particle solid substrate and inoculating the medium with the filamentous fungi, in a bioreactor for fermentation, wherein the mycelia attach to the solid substrate. The filamentous fungi comprises Monascus, Penicillium or Aspergillus, the substrate is a carbohydrate, specifically rice. The medium further comprises a nitrogen source, inorganic salts and trace elements. Applicant additionally claims the method for cultivating Monascus species, and for producing metabolites from culturing Monascus species.

Bayer et al. teaches a method for obtaining colorants (metabolites) from Monascus species wherein Monascus are fermented in fluidized bed reactors (pneumatic reactors) (abstract) on solid rice, wherein the rice allows the fungi to attach (col.2 line 47-51).

Bayer does not teach the method wherein the medium is for submerged culture.

However, Yueh teaches methods of culturing Monascus wherein the fungi are fermented on grain substrates in submerged cultures (example II). At the time of the claimed invention, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated by Yueh to use submerged cultures for Monascus cultivation as it was routinely practiced in the art, as evidenced by Yueh.

Bayer does not teach the method wherein the medium further comprises a nitrogen source, inorganic salts and trace elements. However, Johal teaches that typical methods of culturing filamentous fungi include mediums containing a nitrogen source, inorganic salts and trace elements (col.4 line 29-35). At the time of the claimed invention, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated by Johal to add a nitrogen source, inorganic salts and trace

Art Unit: 1651

elements to the medium of Bayer because of its well known and common use as disclosed by Johal.

7. Claims 1 - 5, 7 - 8, 13 - 16, 21 - 23 and 29 - 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haas in view of Yueh.

Applicant claims a method for cultivating filamentous fungi, the method comprising preparing a medium comprising a full grain particle solid substrate and inoculating the medium with the filamentous fungi, in a bioreactor for fermentation, wherein the mycelia attach to the solid substrate. The filamentous fungi comprises Monascus, Penicillium or Aspergillus, the substrate is a carbohydrate, specifically rice. The method further comprises steps of husking, cocking and sterilizing the rice before adding it to the medium. In addition, the fungi are cultured before being inoculated into the medium. Culturing comprises inoculating the filamentous fungi from a stock to a new agar plate, incubating for 5 – 7 days, washing spores and mycelia that were grown with sterile water, and cultivating the obtained spores and mycelia in a medium comprising a full grain solid substrate for 36 – 48 hours by shaking. Applicant additionally claims the method for producing metabolites from culturing Monascus species, the method comprising preparing medium comprising a full grain particle solid substrate and inoculating the medium with the Monascus species, in a bioreactor for fermentation, wherein the mycelia attach to the solid substrate.

Hass et al. teaches a method for producing pigments (metabolites) from culturing

Monascus purpureus on rice or corn (abstract). The method comprises starting with a stock

culture of Monascus, culturing the fungi on agar slants (col.1 line 55-63), washing the slants (or

Art Unit: 1651

spores and mycelia that were grown) with water (col.2 line5-13), followed by inoculation into the sterilized rice and/or corn medium (col.1 line 64-68, col.2 line 12-13). Haas also teaches that such inoculations may be used to inoculate further batches of rice and/or corn (col.2 line 13-16).

Haas does not teach the method wherein the medium is for submerged culture. However, Yueh teaches methods of culturing Monascus wherein the fungi are fermented on grain substrates in submerged cultures (example II). At the time of the claimed invention, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated by Yueh to use submerged cultures for Monascus cultivation as it was routinely practiced in the art, as evidenced by Yueh.

In addition, Haas does not specifically teach the method wherein the cultivation takes place within a bioreactor. However, at the time of the claimed invention, it was well known in the art that filamentous fungi such as Monascus were routinely cultured in bioreactors. In support, Johal et al. (US 4954440) teaches culturing Monascus in bioreactors for their metabolites (abstract) and Yamaguchi et al. (US 3765906) teaches culturing Monascus in jar fermentors (bioreactors) for their pigments (examples 1 – 4). As such, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to practice the methods of Hass in a bioreactor because it was routine practice in the art at the time the claimed invention was made.

Finally, Hass does not teach that the rice and/or corn is husked and cocked before use, or the specific incubation, fermentation and culture times as claimed. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use husked corn and cocked rice before practicing the methods of Haas, since such steps would have already been performed before the methods of Haas could be practiced. In addition, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to optimize such times as it was routinely practiced in the art at the time of the claimed

Art Unit: 1651

invention. Moreover, at the time of the claimed invention, it would have been well within the purview of one of ordinary skill in the art to modify and determine optimal incubation, fermentation and culture periods when practicing the methods of Haas.

8. Claims 1 – 4, 7 – 10, 13, 15 – 17, 21, 23 – 26 and 29 – 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hass in view of Yueh, Bayer and Tung et al. (1997).

Applicant claims a method for cultivating filamentous fungi, the method comprising preparing a medium comprising a full grain particle solid substrate and inoculating the medium with the filamentous fungi, in a bioreactor for fermentation, wherein the mycelia attach to the solid substrate. The filamentous fungi comprises Monascus, Penicillium or Aspergillus, the substrate is a carbohydrate, specifically rice. The fungi are cultured before being inoculated into the medium. Culturing comprises inoculating the filamentous fungi from a stock to a new agar plate, incubating for 5 – 7 days, washing spores and mycelia that were grown with sterile water, and cultivating the obtained spores and mycelia in a medium comprising a full grain solid substrate for 36 – 48 hours by shaking. Further, the bioreactor is a pneumatic bioreactor with an airlift and net draft tube. Applicant additionally claims the method for producing metabolites from culturing Monascus species, the method comprising preparing medium comprising a full grain particle solid substrate and inoculating the medium with the Monascus species, in a bioreactor for fermentation, wherein the mycelia attach to the solid substrate.

Hass et al. teaches a method for producing pigments (metabolites) from culturing
Monascus purpureus on rice or corn (abstract). The method comprises starting with a stock
culture of Monascus, culturing the fungi on agar slants (col.1 line 55-63), washing the slants (or

Art Unit: 1651

spores and mycelia that were grown) with water (col.2 line5-13), followed by inoculation into the sterilized rice and/or corn medium (col.1 line 64-68, col.2 line 12-13). Haas also teaches that such inoculations may be used to inoculate further batches of rice and/or corn (col.2 line 13-16).

Hass does not teach the method wherein the medium is for submerged culture. However, Yueh teaches methods of culturing Monascus wherein the fungi are fermented on grain substrates in submerged cultures (example II). At the time of the claimed invention, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated by Yueh to use submerged cultures for Monascus cultivation as it was routinely practiced in the art, as evidenced by Yueh.

Haas does not teach the method wherein the Monascus are cultured in a pneumatic bioreactor with an airlift and net draft tube. However, at the time of the claimed invention, it was well known in the art that filamentous fungi such as Monascus were routinely cultured in bioreactors. In support, Bayer teaches culturing Monascus in fluidized bed reactors with bubble columns (abstract, col.2 line 40-45) (or pneumatic bioreactors with airlifts) for obtaining pigments, Johal teaches culturing Monascus in bioreactors for their metabolites (abstract) and Yamaguchi teaches culturing Monascus in jar fermentors (bioreactors) for pigments (examples 1 – 4). At the time of the claimed invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to practice the methods of Hass in a bioreactor because it was routine practice in the art at the time the claimed invention was made. Furthermore, at the time of the claimed invention, pneumatic bioreactors with airlift and net draft tubes were known in the art. Bayer teaches culturing Monascus in pneumatic bioreactors with airlifts wherein the fungi were cultured on rice and metabolites are obtained (col.2 line 40-60). In addition, Tung et al. teaches that airlift reactors with net draft tubes have been used in fermentation (p.1). Specifically, the airlift

Art Unit: 1651

bioreactors with net draft tubes are disclosed to provide higher gas hold up, smaller bubbles, lower mixing times and shorten fermentation times (abstract). At the time of the claimed invention, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated by Bayer and Tung to use an airlift bioreactor with net draft tubes in the methods of Hass for their known use and disclosed benefits as cited above.

Finally, Hass does not teach the specific incubation, fermentation and culture times as claimed. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to optimize such times as it was routinely practiced in the art at the time of the claimed invention. Moreover, at the time of the claimed invention, it would have been well within the purview of one of ordinary skill in the art to modify and determine optimal incubation, fermentation and culture periods when practicing the methods of Haas.

9. Claims 1-4, 6, 11-13, 19-21 and 27-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hass in view of Yeuh and Johal.

Applicant claims a method for cultivating filamentous fungi, the method comprising preparing a medium comprising a full grain particle solid substrate and inoculating the medium with the filamentous fungi, in a bioreactor for fermentation, wherein the mycelia attach to the solid substrate. The filamentous fungi comprises Monascus, Penicillium or Aspergillus, the substrate is a carbohydrate, specifically rice. The medium further comprises a nitrogen source, inorganic salts and trace elements. The method further comprises cultivating the fungi using the fed batch process with a medium comprising a nitrogen source and full grain solid substrate.

Applicant additionally claims the method for producing metabolites from culturing Monascus

Art Unit: 1651

species, the method comprising preparing medium comprising a full grain particle solid substrate and inoculating the medium with the Monascus species, in a bioreactor for fermentation, wherein the mycelia attach to the solid substrate.

Hass et al. teaches a method for producing pigments (metabolites) from culturing Monascus purpureus on rice or corn (abstract). The method comprises inoculating Monascus into a medium comprising sterilized rice and/or corn (col.1 line 64-68, col.2 line 12-13).

Haas does not teach the method wherein the medium is for submerged culture. However, Yueh teaches methods of culturing Monascus wherein the fungi are fermented on grain substrates in submerged cultures (example II). At the time of the claimed invention, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated by Yueh to use submerged cultures for Monascus cultivation as it was routinely practiced in the art, as evidenced by Yueh.

In addition, Haas does not specifically teach the method wherein the cultivation takes place within a bioreactor. However, at the time of the claimed invention, it was well known in the art that filamentous fungi such as Monascus were routinely cultured in bioreactors. In support, Johal teaches culturing Monascus in bioreactors for their metabolites (abstract) and Yamaguchi et al. teaches culturing Monascus in jar fermentors (bioreactors) for their pigments (examples 1 – 4). As such, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to practice the methods of Hass in a bioreactor because it was routine practice in the art at the time the claimed invention was made.

Haas does not teach the method wherein the medium further comprises a nitrogen source, inorganic salts and trace elements; or wherein the fungi are cultivated via fed-batch with a medium comprising nitrogen and full grain solid substrate. However, Johal teaches that typical

Art Unit: 1651

methods of culturing filamentous fungi include fed batch fermentation in bioreactors (col.3 line25-35) with mediums containing a nitrogen source, inorganic salts and trace elements (col.4 line 29-35). At the time of the claimed invention, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated by Johal to culture the filamentous fungi via fed batch with a nitrogen source, inorganic salts and trace elements in the medium because of its well known and common use as disclosed by Johal.

Response to Arguments

Applicant argues that the references do not teach submerged culture with a solid nutritional substrate. However, these arguments fail to persuade in light of the rejections above.

Conclusion

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

Art Unit: 1651

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Ruth A. Davis whose telephone number is 703-308-6310. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-H (7:00-4:30); altn. F (7:00-3:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Michael Wityshyn can be reached on 703-308-0196. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-4242 for regular

communications and 703-308-4242 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Ruth A. Davis; rad

June 27, 2003

LEON B. LANKFORD, JR. PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 12